

Public Workshop for Munchy Branch Road and Wolfe Neck Road

DeIDOT Contract No. T201601801

June 26, 2017

Number	Name	Address	Email	Attend First Workshop?	Agree-Munchy	Agree Wolfe	Alt A or B / No Build?	Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
1	Patrick McKenzie	18445 Munchy Branch Rd	picmckenzie@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	Neither		N/A	1. Opinion changed -Both proposed improvements take up too much of my front yard. 2. (Agree Munchy) As it is now, it is dangerous - isn't a soft shoulder. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Same as #2. 4. Neither - I prefer a solution that would put the bike path on the opposite side of the road or one that has a smaller bike path on each side of the road.
2	Kenneth Horn	Fieldwood HOA - 2053 Ramble Road Drive S	ken.horn99@gmail.com	No	Yes	Yes	A	The grass median in B would require additional maintenance and does not separate the roads with a hard divide.	N/A	1. Strongly support. 2. (Agree Munchy) The traffic is increasing everyday and the danger for fatalities increases with it. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Same issue.
3	Barbara Moulinier	103 Chesapeake Dr.	sunnyshots@ms.com	Yes	Yes	No	No Build		N/A	1. I'm more against it than I was before - This cuts into residents' porches and someone's house on the corner at the curve. 2 (Agree Munchy) with a walking/riding sidewalk on one side - needed for safety. 3 (Disagree Munchy) - Not needed. 4. Don't want the engineers to design a pathway where they personally would not want to live. It's ridiculous and not necessary. Use common sense - design one sidewalk for safety for walkers * bicycles. 5. I asked them last time if they'd want to live on Munchy Branch and try to sell a property knowing this project might occur - it devastates the resale value! 6. Stop redesigning it and listen to your constituents / taxpayers - One small sidewalk will satisfy the safety concern.
4	Red Moulinier	103 Chesapeake Dr.	sunnyshots@ms.com	Yes	Yes	N/A			N/A	1. Against the current proposal - takes too many feet to build. 2. (Agree Munchy) The pedestrians and bikes need a path, but not as big as proposed. 3. N/A 4. Need to come up with better plan, not feasible. 6. Go back to the drawing board - come up with better plan
5	Frank Delia	Piney Glade Community Association, 18594 Fir Dr., Rehoboth, DE 19971	haydel2@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	Yes			N/A	1. In favor of, but there are too many obstacles making the project lengthy and costly 2. (Agree Munchy) Yes, agree with need for ped/bike path. 3. (Agree Wolfe) This is now going to be a continuation of the Luke Lane from Rt. 24 and Rt 1 Intersection. 4. Either option is costly, perhaps a sidewalk/path on each side of the roadway would be a better alternative - not such asn impact on homeowners onthe southside of Munchy Branch Road. 5. Keep informed of what is needed from the public to ensure this project will move forward. 6. These options need revisions to provice less impact and cost on the homeowners along these roads
6	Sallie M. Duffy	35691 Wolfneck Road, Rehoboth, DE 19971		No	Yes	Yes	B & No Build	Need the one with less land requirements	N/A	1. N/A. 2. (Agree Munchy) Yes, but what will the state do if there is not enough frontage? 3. (Agree Wolfe) Yes, speed limit 6. Speed & maybe lights.
7	DiAnn Terrone	18487 Munchy Branch Road	diannterrone@gmail.com	Yes	No	No	No Build	This is too much - a small lane on one side or two sides	N/A	1. No, not really - smaller shoulder would be sufficient. 2. (Disagree Munchy) it is too much for the amount of bike traffic. 3. (Disagree Wolfe) same. 6. Why do most other bike paths have no grass? Let's make it harder for speeders not easier - lower speed limits!!! - at least to 25 - then people will go 35???
8	Jay Stevenson	125 Beachfield Dr., Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	ajayon@msn.com	No	Yes	Yes	B	Provides more of a buffer and looks better with grass as opposed to poles	No	1. N/A. 2. (Agree Munchy) Yes, very little room for bicylists and walkers/joggers in current configuration. (Agree Wolfe) Yes, same as above. 5. No, additional info requested. 6. Final project approval should be based on communities input with best overall options addressing safety concerns.
9	Leonard Tylecki	18961 Munchy Branch Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	1ct861@gmail.com	Yes	No	No	None		Yes	1.The amount of property required is unacceptable. 2. Thought the plan was for a sidewalk? 3. Same. 5. yes. 6. Reconsider sidewalk only - We would like a drawing of how this effects our property
10	Michael Hayes	8 Radcliffe Dr / 1894 Fir Dr. Ext	haydel2@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	More space than required Roadway is exessive & prohibitive	N/A	1. No, absolutely required. 2. (Agree Munchy) Bike traffic is dangerous - needed asap!! 3. (Agree Wolfe) See above 5. Why must this be so involved - we need a sidewalk only - 5 foot sidewalk

Public Workshop for Munchy Branch Road and Wolfe Neck Road
DeIDOT Contract No. T201601801
June 26, 2017

Number	Name	Address	Email	Attend First Workshop?	Agree-Munchy	Agree Wolfe	Alt A or B / No Build?	Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
11	Buddy Clark	120 Beachfield Dr., Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	buddysr@hotmail.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	B	Also the spikes on the road will be runover and need replacement often.	No	1. No. 2.(Agree Munchy) Bicycles and peds on road. 3.(Agree Wolfe) Same. 6. Remove trees at entrance to beachfield on west side of beachfield Road - currently a sight impairment as a result of these trees - PUBLIC SAFETY
12	Bill Hocker	18451 Munchy Branch road, Rehoboth, DE 19971	rbllhocker@gmail.com	Yes	Partial No	Partial No	No build	Same as before	Yes	1. No 2. (Partially Disagree Munchy) - plans are overboard - too big of a project for minimal bicycle and ped traffic. I will lose all but one parking spot for my garage apartment w/ no options to park additional vehicles. 3(Partially Disagree Wolfe) Widening w/ a bike lane - yes; bike lane and pedestrian lane - no. 5. Yes, I requested a study on volume of ped and bike traffic which justifies a project of this magnitude.
13	Michael Pryslak	Seabright - HOA President - 124 Seabright Way	mpryslak@msn.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	B	Better Looking - The post will get runover and broken off	No	1. no, Needs to be done - too many bikes. 2. (Agree Munchy) New homes to be built= more traffic. 3. (Agree Wolfe) same. 6. Needs to get done ASAP
14	Jeanne Goldy-Sanitate	DSCP Fall & Injury & Veteran Committee, 35505 Bonaire Dr. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	jjisuni2@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	A	Best for Cyclist; many car/suv drivers get impatient and cut down the side of the other drivers - safest for cyclists	Yes if not already on.	1. I didn't know what was proposed 2. (Agree Munchy) I ride a handcycle that is lower than a regular bicycle. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Absolutely 6. Work wit the National MS society to pick a safer route for the MS Bike to the Bay - 2011 route was a short ride on Rt 1 N to Helnlopen park- 2012 & forward is down Airport (dangerous) to Rt 1 South (very dangerous) for cyclists from Airport to Tower Park south of Dewey
15	Joseph Terrone	18487 Munchy Branch Road	jdterrone@aol.com	No	No	Partial yes	No Build		Yes	1. N/A. 2(Intensly Disagree Munchy) The area down by Wolf Neck Road on Munchy Branch does not have that much ped or bike traffic - A more modest 3' designated area would be more than enough. 3. (Partially Agree Wolfe) Only agree with changes along the area from Rt 1. to the curve (Munchy Branch east) where I noticed cyclists. These changes could be much more minimal. 5. I would like to see an assessment of haow many peds & cyclists there are from Munchy Branch east to Wolfe Neck Road. I would also like to see an assessment of the speed limit effects. 6. The speed limit is too high for this residential area - Should be reduced to 25
16	Diana L. Duffy	35717 Wolfe Neck Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE	jodiduffy4849@comcast.net	No	Yes	Yes	A	Less property taken	Yes	1. N/A 2.(Agree Munchy) Yes, bicyclists often does not obey the rules of the road and makes it more dangerous than it already is. 3.(Agree Wolfe) Yes, no easy answer 5. Yes, I gave my e-mail address to Andrew Ford - requested copy of proposal plan with pictures. 6. Speed limit on Road 270 is too fast (40 mph) for both peds and cars; also safety of homeowners.
17	Doris & Richard Ranaglia	Piney Glade - 36294 Wildwood Drive, Rehoboth 19971 - Mailing 543 W. Granada Ave Hershey, PA 17033	ranagliard@juno.com	No	Yes	Yes	A	A grass buffer is not needed - simple is sufficient	N/A	1. N/A 2.(Agree Munchy) All Summer long we watch the students/workers and others walking and riding bikes with no where to go when cars go by. 3. (Agree Wolfe) same- it is needed. 6. This needs to be done as soon as possible - The dangerous situation has gone on long enough - Do it!!!
18	Mike Forry	4 breakwater Dr. Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	meffzz@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	A	Takes less property from front yards and eliminates need to mow and maintain grass	Yes	1. Not changed- still in favor. 2.(Agree Munchy) High volume of traffic uses the road to due Giant/Walgreens on one end and WaWa on the other; high amout of ped /bike traffic in summer; safety concerns for peds/bike/drivers. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Safety is the concern; roads were not designed for this amount of traffic. 5.Andrew will send renderings. 6. Thank you for your time and help on this projects- I think it would have a wonderfully positive impact on the community.

Public Workshop for Munchy Branch Road and Wolfe Neck Road
DeIDOT Contract No. T201601801
June 26, 2017

Number	Name	Address	Email	Attend First Workshop?	Agree-Munchy	Agree Wolfe	Alt A or B / No Build?	Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
19	Lois and David Miller	18907 Munchy branch Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	ljm5107@aol.com							E-mail to Schwarzkopf - We own property on Munchy Branch Road; We live on the east side - this road has sufficient shoulder on each side for ped traffic; purchased home 17 years ago and had a lovely piece of property in front of house; soon after we lost a good portion of our land to the county due to the development across from us; they also put in a large electrical box and cable boxes infront of our proposed driveway; had to put up a fence to protect boxes from us hitting them - we were threatened if we hit them we would have to pay money to replace, so we never added a driveway to our house; Can someone else's property be used for this trail? I think we have given enough to Sussex County; Please reconsider the development of the bike trail on Munchy Branch Road - both plans are overkill for the area; I would be willing to meet with (Mr. Schwartzkopf) to discuss. If you look at our property you will understand our adamant rejection to the proposal. Please contact-301-606-4455
20	Ruth Ann Curley	126 Shady Ridge Dr., Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	racurley@cs.com							E-mail to DeIDOT - I live in Shady Ridge; we deal with cyclists all summer; a couple of weeks ago one was coming towards me on my way home. The motorist behind him went around him right in front of me. I had to slam on my brakes to avoid hitting him; last summer 5 cyclists rode side by side; its a matter of time before some one is critically injured or killed. Put a bike lane in as soon as possible!
21	Barbara Geibel	President of the Rehoboth Bay Community Association	louis.geibel@comcast.net							E-mail to DeIDOT -Live along Old Landing Road with shoulders on each side around 3 feet wide -- seems ok. Why do we need a 10 foot shoulder to accommodate bikes and walkers-cost 4.5 million dollars when state is in deficit? The expansion is excessive - just remember who is paying the taxes for this project - it isn't these international students. I want them to be safe, but this is excessive; If you want to fix something - the intersection at route 23 & route 9 - that is horrendous
22	Robert Dunbar	150 Beachfield Drive	robert_dunbar@comcast.net	Yes	Yes	Yes	B	It would provide a wider barrier between vehicles and bikes	Yes	1. N/A. 2. (Agree Munchy) For the safety of peds and bike traffic. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Same. 5. The removal of the leeland express at Beachfield Entrance. 6. Decisions should not be made just to make a couple of property owners happy. One is giving out false information to sway property owners (RE: Jim Coxton)
23	Elaine Mott	35657 Elk Camp Road	mottelaine26@gmail.com	No	Yes	No	No Build	Why not just improve/widen the berms? If the concern is the additional bike traffic with summer seasonal student workers - why waste \$\$ for a limited-time problem?	No	1. N/A. 2. (Agree Munchy) I see more bike traffic on Munchy - only build Phase 1. 3. (Wolfe Neck) No! 4. Wolfe Neck has much less bike traffic - I hardly ever see bikers. Pedestrians use the berm on the north side where there is plenty of space. 5. Please do not destroy the trees/green buffer around Aspen Meadows.
24	Jim Coxton	Beachfield HOA								Letter to residents: Option 1: to expand DeIDOT Right-a-way by taking property on the east side of the highway; expand by 10 feet and expand by 25 feet; plans would impact 7 Beachfield lots that borders Munchy Branch and the just refurbished main entrance. The HOA owns approx two 20 foot x 20 foot areas of land on each side of the main entrance - The plan would destroy our main entrance areas. Plan also includes moving the open ditch line to the east side of the highway. It would have a culvert pipe and the seven lots will need culvert pipes. This is a breeding ground for mosquitoes! There are other options; need to stand together; your fellow citizens need your help.

Public Workshop for Munchy Branch Road and Wolfe Neck Road
DeIDOT Contract No. T201601801
June 26, 2017

Number	Name	Address	Email	Attend First Workshop?	Agree-Munchy	Agree Wolfe	Alt A or B / No Build?	Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
25	Jim Coxton									E-mail to Schwarzkopf & Lopez -I'm sure you know my opposition regarding the two current plans - way too expensive; will have a negative impact on county residents boarding this highway. The only reason for this plan is because of the bicycle funding and not because it's better for the community. Our community does not need a 10 foot wide glorified bike trail that leads to nowhere. it's not fair to our residents to settle for a plan we don't need. It's like buying a car you don't like just because someone else is paying for it. Negative items - 1. Mail Delivery, 2. disruption with utility lines. 3. Confiscating private property, 4. Asphalt jungle, 5. Removing matured trees and shrubbery, 6. take away driveway parking, 7. decrease value of property. 8. diminish our privacy and it goes on. Road should look something like Holland Glade Road with shoulders for bikes. We would like to see a new plan drawn up that will be a win/win with a positive effect on the residential area. Better planning - better use of our tax dollars. Include these 1. stay within your existing right of way; 2. repave the existing highway in the existing location; 3. add a 4-foot paved shoulder on each side of the highway, clearly Mark as bicycle lanes; 4. Lower speed limit to 25 mph. 5. add overhead lamp posts for night lighting - changes would cost less and serve us better. Ran this plan by Anthony (DeIDOT) at last workshop - his comment told me he thought this made sense. He said he thought it was a possibility to be funded with the bicycle DeIDOT funding if Pete signed off on it. The cost of this should be less than half of the proposed budget. Thanks for listening.
26	No Name			No	No	No	No Build		N/A	1. Spoke with someone on the phone and reviewd this info - do not agree. 2. (Disagree Munchy) Speed limit should be 25 on this residential road. 3. (Disagree Wolfe) We should have a small walking area for kids safety
27	Bruce Kauffman	ISOP	b.kauffman598@gmail.com	No	Yes	Yes	B	If you put bicycles in each direction you need more room; better yet put a narrow path on each side of Munchy Branch	N/A	1. N/A 2. (Agree Munchy) Very much agree! During summer-high volumes of cars, bicycle and peds - late at night- the road has no shoulder. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Agree- same 6. Consider slimmer paths on both sides of Munchy Branch
28	Helena Dove	18663 Munchy Branch		Yes	No	No	No Build	Go straight through the woods at Shady Ridge	N/A	
29	No Name			Yes	Yes	Yes	B	More space for bikes and wakers - wouldn't a curb save the grass plot and save space required?	N/A	1. Not changed. 2. (Agree Munchy Branch) N/A 3. (Agree Wolfe) N/A 6. Put a curb between the roadway and walkway - drainage should not be a problem
30	No Name			No	Yes	Yes	B	It would be a lot safer for all - hate to see the larger trees cut down, but this area is getting overcrowded with people and homes!	No	1. N/A. 2. (Agree Munchy) Something needs to be done - very hard to see walkers and bikers at night. The road is just wide enough for car/truck travel let alone walkers & bikers. 3. (Agree Wolfe) same. 6. It is a shame Schell Brothers was approved for the building of a whole new community on Munchy Branch area -so busy as it is.
31	No Name			Yes	Yes	Yes	B	Green Space	N/A	1. Against 2. (Agree Munchy) Too many walkers and bike riders - high rate of speed and cars. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Same. 6. Have speed bumps - take less neighbor lawns
32	Drenning Sweigart	36417 Fir Dr. Piney Glades,	dswgrt@aol.com	No	No	No	A	Does not take away from Homeowner as much	No	1. N/A 2.(Agree Munchy) Very Strongly. 3. (Agree Wolfe) Agree

June 26, 2017

[illegible]